IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: WIRECARD AG SECURITIES LITIGATION	No. 2:20-cv-03326-AB <u>CLASS ACTION</u>
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL CASES	

ORDER

AND NOW, this <u>14th</u> day of June, 2023, upon consideration of the parties' Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order (ECF No. 105), it is **ORDERED** that:

- The hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Seal (ECF No. 101) is continued and will be rescheduled at a later date.
- On or before June 20, 2023, Defendant Ernst & Young GmbH
 Wirtschaftsprüefungsgesellschaft ("EY Germany") may file a response to Plaintiffs'
 Motion to Seal (ECF No. 101).
- If any party would like exhibits filed with the Court to be sealed, that party must make an individualized, document-by-document factual showing and legal argument to rebut the presumption of public access to judicial records. *In re Avandia Mktg.*, *Sales Pracs. & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, 924 F.3d 662, 672 (3d Cir. 2019). ¹

A "judicial record" is a document that "has been filed with the court ... or otherwise somehow incorporated or integrated into a district court's adjudicatory proceedings." *In re Cendant Corp.*, 260 F.3d 183, 192-93 (3d Cir. 2001). Once a document becomes a judicial record, a presumption of access attaches. . . .

¹ According to the Third Circuit:

	S/Anita B. Brody	
	ANITA B. BRODY, J.	
COPIES VIA ECF		

In re Avandia, 924 F.3d at 672.